arXiv:physics/0205013v1 [physics.bio-ph] 7 May 2002

# Sum Rules for Codon Usage Probabilities

L. Frappat[ac], A. Sciarrino[b], P. Sorba[a]

[a] *Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTH*
*CNRS, UMR 5108, associée à l'Université de Savoie*
*BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

[b] *Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II"*
*and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Napoli*
*Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo*
*Via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

[c] *Member of Institut Universitaire de France*

**Abstract**

In the crystal basis model of the genetic code, it is deduced that the sum of usage probabilities of the codons with C and A in the third position for the quartets and/or sextets is independent of the biological species for vertebrates. A comparison with experimental data shows that the prediction is satisfied within about 5 %.

PACS number: 87.10.+e, 02.10.-v

LAPTH-914/02
DSF-10/02
physics/0205013
May 2002

*E-mail:* frappat@lapp.in2p3.fr, sciarrino@na.infn.it, sorba@lapp.in2p3.fr

The genetic code is degenerate. Degeneracy refers to the fact that almost all the amino acids are encoded by multiple codons. Degeneracy is found primarily in the third position of the codon, i.e. the nucleotide in the third position can change without changing the corresponding amino acid. Some codons are used much more frequently than others to encode a particular amino acid. The pattern of codon usage varies between species and even among tissues within a species [1–7]. The case of bacteriae has been widely studied [8, 9]. To our knowledge, systematic studies for eukaryotes are rather fragmentary [3, 10, 11]. Most of the analyses of the codon usage frequencies have adressed to analyse the relative abundance of a specified codon or in the comparison of the relative abundance in different biological species and/or genes. Little attention has been paid to correlations between codon usage frequency among different biological species. Indeed a correlation between suitable ratios of codon usage frequencies has been remarked in [12] for biological species belonging to the vertebrate class and in [13] for biological organisms including plants. Such correlations fit well in a mathematical model of the genetic code, called crystal basis model, proposed by the authors in [14]. Moreover in [15] it has also been observed that the ratio of the previously defined quantities exhibits for vertebrates an almost universal behaviour, i.e. independent on the biological species and on the nature of the amino-acid, for the subset of the amino-acids encoded by quartets or sextets. These remarks suggest the possible existence of a general bias in the codon usage frequency of a specific codon belonging to a quartet or quartet sub-part of a sextet, i.e. the four codons differing for the last nucleotide. The aim of this paper is to investigate this aspect and to predict a general law which should be satisfied by all the biological species belonging to vertebrates.

Let us define the usage probability for the codon $XZN$ ($X, Z, N \in \{A, C, G, U\}$) as

$$P(XZN) = \lim_{n_{tot}\to\infty} \frac{n_{XZN}}{n_{tot}} \tag{1}$$

where $n_{XZN}$ is the number of times the codons $XZN$ has been used in the biosynthesis process of the corresponding amino-acid and $n_{tot}$ is the total number of codons used to synthetise this amino-acid. It follows that our analysis and predictions hold for biological species with enough large statistics of codons. In our model each codon $XZN$ is described by a state belonging to an irreducible representation (irrep.), denoted $(J_H, J_V)^\xi$, of the algebra $U_q\big(sl(2)_H \oplus sl(2)_V\big)$ in the limit $q \to 0$ (so-called crystal basis); $J_H$, $J_V$ take (half-)integer values and the upper label $\xi$ removes the degeneracy when the same couple of values of $J_H$, $J_V$ appears several times. As can be seen in Table 1 there are for example four representations $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\xi$, with $\xi = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Finally within the given representation $(J_H, J_V)^\xi$ two more quantum numbers $J_{H,3}$, $J_{V,3}$ are necessary to specify a particular state: see Table 1, which is reported here to make the paper self-consistent.

So it is natural, in the crystal basis model, to write the usage probability as a function of the biological species (b.s.), of the particular amino-acid and of the labels $J_H$, $J_V$, $J_{H,3}$, $J_{V,3}$ describing the state $XZN$. Here we suppose that the dependence of the amino-acid is completely determined by the set of labels $J's$ and so we write

$$P(XZN) = P(b.s.; J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3}) \tag{2}$$

Let us now make the hypothesis that we can write the r.h.s. of eq. (2) as the sum of two contributions: a universal function $\rho$ independent on the biological species and a b.s. depending function $f_{bs}$, i.e.

$$P(XZN) = \rho^{XZ}(J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3}) \; + \; f_{bs}^{XZ}(J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3}) \tag{3}$$

As it is suggested by an analysis of the available data [13], the contribution of $f_{bs}$ is not negligible but could be smaller than the one due to $\rho$. We will also assume

$$f_{bs}^{XZ}(J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3}) \approx F_{bs}^{XZ}(J_H; J_{H,3}) + G_{bs}^{XZ}(J_V; J_{V,3}) \tag{4}$$

Now, let us analyse in the light of the above considerations the usage probability for the quartets Ala, Gly, Pro, Thr and Val and for the quartet sub-part of the sextets Arg (i.e. the codons of the form CGN), Leu (i.e. CUN) and Ser (i.e. UCN).
For Thr, Pro, Ala and Ser we can write, using Table 1 and eqs. (2)-(4), with $N = A, C, G, U$,

$$P(NCC) + P(NCA) = \rho_{C+A}^{NC} + F_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{3}{2}; x) + G_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{3}{2}; y) + F_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{1}{2}; x') + G_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{1}{2}; y') \tag{5}$$

where we have denoted by $\rho_{C+A}^{NC}$ the sum of the contribution of the universal function (i.e. not depending on the biological species) $\rho$ relative to $NCC$ and $NCA$, while the labels $x, y, x', y'$ depend on the nature of the first two nucleotides $NC$, see Table 1. For the same amino acid we can also write

$$P(NCG) + P(NCU) = \rho_{G+U}^{NC} + F_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{3}{2}; x) + G_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{3}{2}; y) + F_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{1}{2}; x') + G_{bs}^{NC}(\tfrac{1}{2}; y') \tag{6}$$

Using the results of Table 1, we can remark that the difference between eq. (5) and eq. (6) is a quantity independent of the biological species,

$$P(NCC) + P(NCA) - P(NCG) - P(NCU) \;=\; \rho_{C+A}^{NC} - \rho_{G+U}^{NC} \;=\; \text{Const.} \tag{7}$$

In the same way, considering the cases of Leu, Val, Arg and Gly, we obtain with $W = C, G$

$$P(WUC) + P(WUA) - P(WUG) - P(WUU) \;=\; \rho_{C+A}^{WU} - \rho_{G+U}^{WU} \;=\; \text{Const.} \tag{8}$$
$$P(CGC) + P(CGA) - P(CGG) - P(CGU) \;=\; \rho_{C+A}^{CG} - \rho_{G+U}^{CG} \;=\; \text{Const.} \tag{9}$$
$$P(GGC) + P(GGA) - P(GGG) - P(GGU) \;=\; \rho_{C+A}^{GG} - \rho_{G+U}^{GG} \;=\; \text{Const.} \tag{10}$$

Since the probabilities for one quadruplet are normalised to one, from eqs. (6)-(10) we deduce that for all the eight amino acids the sum of probabilities of codon usage for codons with last A and C (or U and G) nucleotide is independent of the biological species, i.e.

$$P(XZC) + P(XZA) = \text{Const.} \qquad (XZ = NC, CU, GU, CG, GG) \tag{11}$$

In order to check our proposed sum rules eq. (11) on experimental data, we have considered the data for species from the GenBank (release 127.0 of Dec. 2001) for vertebrates with codon statistics larger than 60.000, see Table 2. In Table 3 we report the experimental data for the selected 21 vertebrates. The comparison shows that our predictions are verified within 3-6 %, which is an amazing result. Moreover if one considers only the species with highest statistics (No. 1, 2, 3 in Table 2) the prediction is verified within about 3 %.

In Table 4 we report the mean value and the standard deviation of the probability of usage of the codons $XZN$ ($XZ = NC, CU, GU, CG, GG$) computed over all biological species given in Table 2. It can be remarked that this probability shows a rather large spread which is surprisingly reduced when one makes the sum (compare Tables 4 and 5).

Moreover, if we assume that for sextets the functions $F$ and $G$ depend really on the nature of the encoded amino acid rather than on the dinucleotide, from the analysis of the content in the

irreps of Table 1, we derive in a completely analogous way as above that for the amino acid Ser the sum $P'_{C+A}(S) = P(UCA) + P(AGC)$ is independent of the biological species (note that we have normalised the probabilites by $P(UCA) + P(AGC) + P(UCG) + P(AGU) = 1$). The experimental data are in good agreement with this a priori surprising result, see last column $P'_{C+A}(S)$ of Table 3.

In Table 5 we have reported the statistical estimators (mean value, standard deviation and their ratio) for the probabilities given in eq. (11). It should be remarked that essentially two species: *Danio rerio* (zebrafish) and *Pan troglodytes* (chimpanze), differ sensibly from the average value for most amino acids. For the chimpanze, it may be a statistical fluctuation due to the relative low number of codons.

In order to evaluate how our results are statistically more significant than generic results, we have computed the sum of the probabilities of the codon usage $P(XZC)+P(XZU)$ and $P(XZC)+P(XZG)$ where $XZ$ denote the dinucleotides of the eight quartets/sextets. To save space we do not report here the corresponding tables, but only the values of the statistical estimators, see Table 5. We see that for $P(XZC) + P(XZU)$ the standard deviation is a bit larger than the one for $P(XZC) + P(XZA)$ and even larger for $P(XZC) + P(XZG)$. This result fits also in our model as in the first case the probability differs from species independent factors, essentially for the presence of factors $G_{bs}(J_V; J_{V,3})$, while in the last case, also for the presence of factors $F_{bs}(J_V; J_{V,3})$.

Now let us make two important remarks.
– If we write for $\rho(J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3})$, or equivalently $\rho^{NC}_{C+A}$, an expression of the type (4), i.e. separating the $H$ from the $V$ dependence, it follows that the r.h.s. of eqs. (5) and (6) are equal, and consequently the probabilities $P(NCC)+P(NCA)$ and $P(NCU)+P(NCG)$ should be equal, which is not experimentally verified. This means that the coupling term between the $H$ and the $V$ is not negligible for the $\rho(J_H, J_V, J_{H,3}, J_{V,3})$ function.
– Summing equations (5) and (6) we deduce that the expression $F^{NC}_{bs}(\frac{3}{2}; x)+G^{NC}_{bs}(\frac{3}{2}; y)+F^{NC}_{bs}(\frac{1}{2}; x')+G^{NC}_{bs}(\frac{1}{2}; y')$ is actually not depending on the biological species. From eqs. (5) and (6) for different values of $N$ and for analogous equations for the other four quartets, we can derive relations between sums of $F^{NC}_{bs}$ and/or $G^{NC}_{bs}$ functions which are independent of the biological species.

Let us emphasize our claim: we have remarked that the sum of the usage probabilities of two suitably choosen codons is, within a few percent, a constant independent on the biological species for vertebrates, which well fits in the framework of the crystal basis model. Of course one can restate the above results stating the sum of the probability of codon usage $XZC+XZA$ is not depending on the nature of the biological specie, without any reference to crystal basis model. However a deeper analysis of Table 3 shows that $P_{C+A}$ for Pro, Thr, Ala, Ser and Gly is of the order of 0.62, for Leu and Val of the order 0.35 while for Arg is of order 0.52. In the crystal basis model the roots, i.e. the dinucleotide formed by the first two nucleotides of the first 5 amino acids belong to the same irrep. (1,1), the roots of Leu and Val belong to the irrep. (0,1), while the root of Arg belongs to the irrep. (1,0). This is an interesting result, especially for Pro whose molecule has a different structure than the others amino acids (Pro has an imino group instead of an amino group).

It is natural to wonder what happens for other biological species. The green plants exhibits roughly the same pattern, but probably a more reliable analysis has to be performed considering a splitting into families. For invertebrates, the large number of existing biological species and the lack of data with sufficient diversity prevents from applying a similar analysis. The case of bacteriae is rather interesting. Eubacteriae seem to avoid this pattern of correlations. This may be the influence

of selection effects which may be stronger or effective in shorter times in less complicated species. For bacteriae the G+C content varies in a wide range from 25 % to 75 %. Hence one can argue that biological species with large difference in the G+C content exhibit large difference in the correlation pattern discussed in this paper. However, using the Genbank data, one finds for eubacteriae no correlation between the G+C content and the value of the probabilities eq. (11).

**Acknowledgments:** Partially supported by M.U.R.S.T. (Italy) and M.A.E. (France) in the framework of french-italian collaboration Galileo 2000 and for one of us (A.S.) by the M.U.R.S.T. through National Research Project *SINTESI 2000*.

# References

[1] Gouy M. and Gautier C., Nucleic Acids Res. **10** (1982) 7055.

[2] Ikemura T., Mol. Biol. Evol. **2** (1985) 13.

[3] Aota S. and Ikemura T., Nucleic Acids Res. **14** (1986) 6345.

[4] Sharp P.M., Science **246** (1989) 808.

[5] Bulmer M., Wolfe K.H. and Sharp P.M., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **88** (1991) 5974.

[6] Huynen M.A., Konings D.A. and Hogeweg P., J. Mol. Evol. **34** (1992) 280.

[7] Akashi H., Genetics **136** (1994) 927.

[8] Bernardi G., B. Olofsson, J. Filipski, M. Zerial, J. Salinas, G. Cuny, Science **228** (1985) 953; Bernardi G. and Bernardi G., J. Mol. Evol. **24** (1986) 1.

[9] Osawa S., Ohama T., Yamao F., Muto A., Jukes T.H., Ozeki H., Umesono K., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **85** (1988) 1124; Osawa S., Jukes T.H., Muto A., Yamao F., Ohama T., Andachi Y., Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **52** (1987) 777.

[10] Ikemura T. and S.I. Aota, J. Mol. Evol. **203** (1988) 1.

[11] Bernardi G., Ann. Rev. Genet. **23** (1989) 637.

[12] Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., Phys. Lett. A **259** (1999) 339, `physics/9812041`.

[13] Chiusano M.L., Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., Europhys. Lett. **55** (2001) 287.

[14] Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., Phys. Lett. A. **250** (1998) 214, `physics/9801027`.

[15] Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., J. Biol. Phys. **27** (2001) 1, `physics/0003037`.

Table 1: The eukaryotic or standard code code. The upper label denotes different irreducible representations.

| codon | amino acid | $J_H$ | $J_V$ | $J_{3,H}$ | $J_{3,V}$ | codon | amino acid | $J_H$ | $J_V$ | $J_{H,3}$ | $J_{V,3}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCC | Pro P | 3/2 | 3/2 | 3/2 | 3/2 | UCC | Ser S | 3/2 | 3/2 | 1/2 | 3/2 |
| CCU | Pro P | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | 1/2 | 3/2 | UCU | Ser S | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | −1/2 | 3/2 |
| CCG | Pro P | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 3/2 | 1/2 | UCG | Ser S | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 1/2 | 1/2 |
| CCA | Pro P | (1/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | UCA | Ser S | (1/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| CUC | Leu L | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | 1/2 | 3/2 | UUC | Phe F | 3/2 | 3/2 | −1/2 | 3/2 |
| CUU | Leu L | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | −1/2 | 3/2 | UUU | Phe F | 3/2 | 3/2 | −3/2 | 3/2 |
| CUG | Leu L | (1/2 | $1/2)^3$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | UUG | Leu L | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| CUA | Leu L | (1/2 | $1/2)^3$ | −1/2 | 1/2 | UUA | Leu L | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −3/2 | 1/2 |
| CGC | Arg R | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 3/2 | 1/2 | UGC | Cys C | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 1/2 | 1/2 |
| CGU | Arg R | (1/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | UGU | Cys C | (1/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| CGG | Arg R | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 3/2 | −1/2 | UGG | Trp W | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 1/2 | −1/2 |
| CGA | Arg R | (1/2 | $1/2)^2$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | UGA | Ter | (1/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| CAC | His H | (1/2 | $1/2)^4$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | UAC | Tyr Y | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| CAU | His H | (1/2 | $1/2)^4$ | −1/2 | 1/2 | UAU | Tyr Y | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −3/2 | 1/2 |
| CAG | Gln Q | (1/2 | $1/2)^4$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | UAG | Ter | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| CAA | Gln Q | (1/2 | $1/2)^4$ | −1/2 | −1/2 | UAA | Ter | (3/2 | $1/2)^2$ | −3/2 | −1/2 |
| GCC | Ala A | 3/2 | 3/2 | 3/2 | 1/2 | ACC | Thr T | 3/2 | 3/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 |
| GCU | Ala A | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | ACU | Thr T | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| GCG | Ala A | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 3/2 | −1/2 | ACG | Thr T | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 1/2 | −1/2 |
| GCA | Ala A | (1/2 | $1/2)^1$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | ACA | Thr T | (1/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| GUC | Val V | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | AUC | Ile I | 3/2 | 3/2 | −1/2 | 1/2 |
| GUU | Val V | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | −1/2 | 1/2 | AUU | Ile I | 3/2 | 3/2 | −3/2 | 1/2 |
| GUG | Val V | (1/2 | $1/2)^3$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | AUG | Met M | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| GUA | Val V | (1/2 | $1/2)^3$ | −1/2 | −1/2 | AUA | Ile I | (3/2 | $1/2)^1$ | −3/2 | −1/2 |
| GGC | Gly G | 3/2 | 3/2 | 3/2 | −1/2 | AGC | Ser S | 3/2 | 3/2 | 1/2 | −1/2 |
| GGU | Gly G | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | AGU | Ser S | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| GGG | Gly G | 3/2 | 3/2 | 3/2 | −3/2 | AGG | Arg R | 3/2 | 3/2 | 1/2 | −3/2 |
| GGA | Gly G | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | 1/2 | −3/2 | AGA | Arg R | (1/2 | $3/2)^1$ | −1/2 | −3/2 |
| GAC | Asp D | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | 1/2 | −1/2 | AAC | Asn N | 3/2 | 3/2 | −1/2 | −1/2 |
| GAU | Asp D | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | −1/2 | −1/2 | AAU | Asn N | 3/2 | 3/2 | −3/2 | −1/2 |
| GAG | Glu E | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | 1/2 | −3/2 | AAG | Lys K | 3/2 | 3/2 | −1/2 | −3/2 |
| GAA | Glu E | (1/2 | $3/2)^2$ | −1/2 | −3/2 | AAA | Lys K | 3/2 | 3/2 | −3/2 | −3/2 |

Table 2: Data for vertebrates from GenBank Release 127.0 [15 December 2001]

| | Biological species | number of sequences | number of codons |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Homo sapiens | 41504 | 18611700 |
| 2 | Mus musculus | 17286 | 8079821 |
| 3 | Rattus norvegicus | 6578 | 3324518 |
| 4 | Gallus gallus | 2089 | 1019029 |
| 5 | Xenopus laevis | 1961 | 929562 |
| 6 | Bos taurus | 1694 | 764195 |
| 7 | Danio rerio | 1209 | 535583 |
| 8 | Oryctolagus cuniculus | 864 | 441547 |
| 9 | Macaca fascicularis | 1321 | 403875 |
| 10 | Sus scrofa | 914 | 380357 |
| 11 | Canis familiaris | 489 | 229526 |
| 12 | Takifugu rubripes | 259 | 152479 |
| 13 | Ovis aries | 413 | 134027 |
| 14 | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 366 | 131431 |
| 15 | Cricetulus griseus | 217 | 109395 |
| 16 | Rattus sp. | 265 | 106164 |
| 17 | Pan troglodytes | 272 | 88272 |
| 18 | Oryzias latipes | 183 | 85610 |
| 19 | Macaca mulatta | 264 | 81673 |
| 20 | Felis cattus | 177 | 66930 |
| 21 | Equus caballus | 177 | 59932 |

Table 3: Sum of usage probability of codons $P_{C+A}(XN) \equiv P(XNC)+P(XNA)$. The number in the first column denotes the biological species of Table 2. The amino acid are labelled by the standard letter. Morevover $P'_{C+A}(S) = P(UCA) + P(AGC)$.

| Biological species | $P_{C+A}(P)$ | $P_{C+A}(A)$ | $P_{C+A}(T)$ | $P_{C+A}(S)$ | $P_{C+A}(V)$ | $P_{C+A}(L)$ | $P_{C+A}(R)$ | $P_{C+A}(G)$ | $P'_{C+A}(S)$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.65 |
| 2 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.65 |
| 3 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.65 |
| 4 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.67 |
| 5 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.62 |
| 6 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.65 |
| 7 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| 8 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.66 |
| 9 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.65 |
| 10 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.67 |
| 11 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.65 |
| 12 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.64 |
| 13 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.67 |
| 14 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.66 |
| 15 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.64 |
| 16 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.66 |
| 17 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.72 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| 18 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.68 |
| 19 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.64 |
| 20 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.64 |
| 21 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.68 |

Table 4: Mean value, standard deviation and their ratio for the probabilities $P(XZN)$ corresponding to the eight amino-acids related to quartets or sextets for the choice of biological species of Table 2.

| | $P(CCU)$ | $P(CCC)$ | $P(CCA)$ | $P(CCG)$ | $P(ACU)$ | $P(ACC)$ | $P(ACA)$ | $P(ACG)$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.13 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.028 | 0.043 | 0.034 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.050 | 0.034 | 0.027 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 10.0 % | 12.8 % | 13.3 % | 22.3 % | 13.1 % | 13.0 % | 13.0 % | 21.4 % |
| | $P(GCU)$ | $P(GCC)$ | $P(GCA)$ | $P(GCG)$ | $P(UCU)$ | $P(UCC)$ | $P(UCA)$ | $P(UCG)$ |
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.27 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.026 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.027 | 0.036 | 0.026 | 0.020 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 9.5 % | 11.6 % | 16.5 % | 25.3 % | 8.8 % | 9.3 % | 12.0 % | 20.2 % |
| | $P(GUU)$ | $P(GUC)$ | $P(GUA)$ | $P(GUG)$ | $P(CUU)$ | $P(CUC)$ | $P(CUA)$ | $P(CUG)$ |
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.52 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.036 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.045 | 0.034 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.035 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 20.9 % | 9.1 % | 25.8 % | 9.5 % | 22.6 % | 7.2 % | 20.6 % | 6.7 % |
| | $P(CGU)$ | $P(CGC)$ | $P(CGA)$ | $P(CGG)$ | $P(GGU)$ | $P(GGC)$ | $P(GGA)$ | $P(GGG)$ |
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.23 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.042 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.029 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.032 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 26.0 % | 11.4 % | 14.0 % | 13.9 % | 16.9 % | 10.3 % | 12.7 % | 13.7 % |

Table 5: Mean value, standard deviation and their ratio for the sums of probabilities $P_{C+A}$, $P_{C+U}$, $P_{C+G}$ corresponding to the eight amino-acids related to quartets or sextets for the choice of biological species of Table 2. The amino acid are labelled by the standard letter.

| | $P_{C+A}(P)$ | $P_{C+A}(A)$ | $P_{C+A}(T)$ | $P_{C+A}(S)$ | $P_{C+A}(V)$ | $P_{C+A}(L)$ | $P_{C+A}(R)$ | $P_{C+A}(G)$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.595 | 0.611 | 0.646 | 0.598 | 0.359 | 0.334 | 0.527 | 0.596 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.024 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.015 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 3.4 % | 4.4 % | 3.8 % | 2.6 % | 5.6 % | 3.7 % | 3.8 % | 2.5 % |
| | $P_{C+U}(P)$ | $P_{C+U}(A)$ | $P_{C+U}(T)$ | $P_{C+U}(S)$ | $P_{C+U}(V)$ | $P_{C+U}(L)$ | $P_{C+U}(R)$ | $P_{C+U}(G)$ |
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.613 | 0.672 | 0.614 | 0.687 | 0.430 | 0.401 | 0.506 | 0.507 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.026 | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.049 | 0.024 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 4.9 % | 4.2 % | 4.4 % | 3.8 % | 7.2 % | 5.4 % | 9.7 % | 4.8 % |
| | $P_{C+G}(P)$ | $P_{C+G}(A)$ | $P_{C+G}(T)$ | $P_{C+G}(S)$ | $P_{C+G}(V)$ | $P_{C+G}(L)$ | $P_{C+G}(R)$ | $P_{C+G}(G)$ |
| $\overline{x}$ | 0.462 | 0.513 | 0.511 | 0.479 | 0.728 | 0.769 | 0.652 | 0.567 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.058 | 0.058 | 0.063 | 0.046 | 0.056 | 0.048 | 0.055 | 0.058 |
| $\sigma/\overline{x}$ | 12.4 % | 11.3 % | 12.3 % | 9.6 % | 7.7 % | 6.3 % | 8.4 % | 10.2 % |